

**Enterprise M3 Ltd Board Meeting**

**25 July 2019 - 12.00-5.00pm**

**Deskldodge, Belvedere House, Basing View, Basingstoke, RG21 4HG**

**DRAFT MINUTES**

**Directors in Attendance**

Deborah Allen - Chair  
 Simon Browne  
 Linda Cheung  
 David Clifford  
 Paul Hogg  
 Tim Jackson  
 Colin Kemp  
 Stacey King  
 Andrew Lambert  
 Keith Mans  
 Jim McAllister  
 Ross McNally  
 Kathy Slack

**EM3 Team in Attendance**

Rachel Barker  
 Christian Cadwallader  
 Rob Dunford  
 Chris Quintana  
 Kevin Travers  
 Justine Davie

**Apologies**

Dave Axam  
 James Cretney  
 Barney Ely  
 Jason Gaskell  
 Clive Sanders  
 Isobel Stephen

**Guests in Attendance**

Rob Carr – Section 151 Officer, Accountable Body  
 Rob Humby – Deputy Leader, Hampshire County Council  
 Blessing Inyang – Senior Policy Advisor, Cities and Local Growth Unit  
 Toni Wootton – Cities and Local Growth Unit, London and South East

**Co-opted Member**

Mike Short

**1. Introduction to Deskldodge and Basing View Tour**

1.1 Christian Cadwallader advised the Board that Deskldodge was located on Basing View which was one of the EZ<sup>3</sup> enterprise zone sites. Deskldodge provided private office and coworking space to rent. The facilities available included hotdesking, fixed desk rental and private office space as well as meeting rooms for hire. The Board were provided with a short tour of Basing View to highlight the work taking place on the Enterprise Zone in particular Plot K the Village Hotel, Plot J and Plot W which had been supported by Enterprise M3 LEP through Local Growth Funding.

**2. Welcome and Introductions from Chair**

2.1 Deborah Allen welcomed everyone to the meeting. Deborah advised the Board that the meeting would be Keith Mans last meeting as his six-year term had come to an end. Deborah congratulated Keith on his appointment as Leader of Hampshire County Council and thanked him for his time on the Enterprise M3 Board, his involvement has been really appreciated. Kathy Slack highlighted the valuable contribution that Keith had made to the Board and thanked him for his advice and work to promote the LEP.

2.2 Following on from the appointment of Boris Johnson as Prime Minister, the Board was advised that there had been a number of changes to Ministers including a number MP's from the Enterprise M3 area resigning or losing their ministerial positions. A list would be circulated to the Board setting out which MP's in the Enterprise M3 area had been appointed to ministerial positions.

Action to be taken	By Whom	When
Circulate list of Enterprise M3 MP's and positions	Kathy Slack	August 2019

### 3. **Minutes of the previous meetings & matters arising**

- 3.1 The minutes of the meeting held on 22 May were agreed and the actions noted. Rachel Barker informed the Board that the Farnborough Growth Package project had received full support and approval was given by email correspondence to allocate £6.7m capital grant funding.

### 4. **Chairs Report**

- 4.1 The Board received a paper setting out the Chairs recent activity. The Board reflected on the AGM and agreed that it was a successful event and feedback had been very positive. Planning for the 2020 AGM would start earlier and the date and venue would also be agreed sooner. It was suggested that the format may need to be adapted to meet the AGM requirements for a Limited Company although it was suggested that it should be publicised as more than just an AGM. The Chair's meeting with Nick Chism at Qinetiq had been a very interesting meeting and Toni Wootton suggested this could be an opportunity for a ministerial visit in future.

### 5. **Declarations of Interest**

- 5.1 In addition to all interests previously declared, the following interests were noted:
- Rob Humby and Stacey King left the room during the decision making on the Enterprise M3 Board Appointments item.
  - Colin Kemp left the room during the decision making on the Weyside Urban Village item.
  - Rob Humby, Colin Kemp, Ross McNally and Kathy Slack left the room during the decision making on the European Regional Development Fund Application.
  - Rob Humby left the room during the decision making on the Basingstoke South West Corridor Brighton Hill item.

### 6. **Heathrow Airport Expansion Consultation**

- 6.1 Lisa Childs, Senior External Affairs manager, Heathrow Airport Ltd gave a detailed presentation on the Preferred Masterplan for the expansion of Heathrow. In 2018 there had been 80 million passengers through the Airport. There were currently two full length runways and four operational terminals. The Airport was currently running at 98% capacity which supported the case for expansion. Other European airports had much larger capacity and had four or six runways. The plan anticipated that following the expansion the passenger numbers would increase to 142 million by 2035.
- 6.2 The plans for the expansion included some large infrastructure changes included the realignment of the M25 between Junctions 14a and 15 and new passenger terminal facilities (T2X and T5X). The Southern Rail access was also key to support the expansion. The plan was for the airport to be a low emission zone to decrease the number of cars coming to the airport.
- 6.3 The consultation was released in June 2019 and would run until 13 September 2019. The plan would be submitted to the planning inspectorate in 2020 and it could take up to 18 months for the plan to be considered. It was aimed that the Development Consent Order would be granted in 2021 when construction would start on site with the runway opening in 2026.
- 6.4 There were several key consultation documents on which Enterprise M3 LEP should develop a view including the Economic Development Framework which set out Heathrow's approach to maximising the economic benefits of the Airport. There was a focus on linking individuals to jobs leading to meeting the 10,000 apprenticeships target. There were also important elements on tackling barriers to employment and links to surface access. In addition to the LEP responding on the proposals in this consultation exercise the LEP would also respond as a member of the Heathrow Strategic Planning Group, along with neighbouring LEPs, local authorities and Transport for the South East.
- 6.5 The Board discussed the Masterplan and recognised the effect the expansion would have on the Enterprise M3 area. Particular concern was on the alternative transport provision in order to decrease the number of cars and the need for a firm commitment from Heathrow supporting the western and southern rail links. The Board also commented on the green link and proposed that the environmental message should be at the front of the communications messages as it currently felt like a token gesture. The movement around the airport internally was also highlighted as needing to be improved. There

was some discussion on how companies could become involved in the supply chain for the expansion work. The Board was advised that the Heathrow Strategic Planning Group has a SME Task and Finish Group which the LEP was represented on and would look at how the LEP and Chambers of Commerce could be a reference point to guide SME's to the right contact. Blessing Inyang advised that the comments from the Board be fed back and picked up at Government level.

- 6.6 The closing date of the formal consultation was 13 September and it was agreed that the Chief Executive, Kevin Travers and Ross McNally would put together a draft response drawing on the comments made at the Board meeting. In the interest of speed, it was agreed that the main points to be incorporated into the response would be circulated to the Board for comment with the final response submitted by the Chief Executive incorporating the Board comments by 13 September.

Action to be taken	By Whom	When
Draft outline points to the Heathrow Airport Ltd statutory consultation on expansion and circulate to the Board for comment	Kathy Slack	23 August 2019
Submit final response to the Heathrow Airport Ltd statutory consultation on expansion	Kathy Slack	13 September 2019

**Note: Keith Mans left the meeting during this item.**

## 7. Enterprise M3 Board Appointments

- 7.1 The Board considered a report on the work being carried out to increase diversity on the LEP Board and the future programme of work proposed. There were a number of Director vacancies coming up from end 2019 through 2020 and work was already underway to enable an Executive Search agency to work with the LEP, along with engagement with business networks at an early stage, to promote the vacancies to interested parties. Work was also underway to seek local authority nominations to the Board as there were currently two Surrey district/borough vacancies and one Hampshire district/borough vacancy. There was also a vacancy for a Hampshire district/borough representative on the Programme Management Group. The LEP team was working closely with the Joint Leaders Board secretariat to progress the nominations and it was hoped that the new Board Directors and Programme Management Group appointments would be made in September 2019.
- 7.2 The Board was advised of a number of work streams that were being followed up to increase the diversity on the Board. The Chief Executive along with Linda Cheung and Stacey King were working on a list of key women in the Enterprise M3 area that would like to become involved in the LEP work. The Chief Executive had also held meetings with a number of organisations to discuss ways of encouraging women to become involved and sharing good practice. It was recognised that diversity on the Board was not exclusive to gender and ensuring that the business representation reflected the business economy of the area was also important.
- 7.3 Kathy Slack emphasised that every group on the Enterprise M3 Board would need to consider diversity issues and that, as well as local authorities, discussions would be held with the higher education, further education and not for profit groups.
- 7.4 The Board was advised that Cllr Keith Mans had reached the end of his second term of office in July 2019 and Hampshire County Council had nominated Cllr Rob Humby as his replacement. The Board agreed the appointment of Cllr Rob Humby as an Enterprise M3 Board Director.
- 7.5 The Board was advised that the Programme Management Group had recently reviewed its Terms of Reference which would be submitted to the Board for sign-off in September. The Board was asked to endorse the principle that 'call in' of a project approved by PMG would require the support of three Board Directors and at least seven working days' notice should be given to the Assistant Director – Operations. The Board noted the proposal and endorsed the principle to be included in any revised Terms of Reference.
- 7.6 The Board was advised that Stacey King was leaving BT however would like to remain on the Enterprise M3 Board as a Director. Stacey was taking up a new role in September and would update the Board at the next meeting. The Nominations Committee would review Stacey's new role and make a recommendation to the Board on future Board membership.

Action to be taken	By Whom	When
Arrange for completion of the necessary documentation for Cllr Rob Humby to be appointed as an Enterprise M3 Board Director	Rachel Barker	September 2019
Arrange for Cllr Keith Mans to be removed as an Enterprise M3 Director at Companies House	Rachel Barker	July 2019
Review Stacey King's new role and provide a recommendation on her continued involvement on the Board	Mike Short (Chair of Nominations Committee)	September 2019

## 8. Transport for the South East – Proposal to Government

- 8.1 The Board received a paper on the Transport for the South East (TfSE) draft proposal to Government to demonstrate the strategic case for the creation of a sub-national transport body and set out how TfSE would fulfil the statutory requirements for such a body. A draft proposal for consultation had been agreed by the TfSE Board in March 2019 and the formal consultation period would close on 31 July 2019. It had been envisaged that a final proposal would be recommended to the Shadow Partnership Board meeting in September 2019 and then submitted to Government in November 2019. However, since the consultation opened the Secretary of State had indicated that Government's preference was to continue to work with sub-national transport bodies on a voluntary basis. Therefore, the next steps would be discussed at the September meeting of the TfSE Shadow Partnership Board.
- 8.2 The proposal sought a wide range of function and responsibilities for the TfSE. The establishment of the TfSE with statutory status would create a regional organisation with the ability to make the case for investment in infrastructure. As a sub-national transport body for the South East the TfSE would be able to exercise greater influence over Government, attract more investment in the region and obtain powers over and above those held by specific authorities and LEPs. It was recommended that Enterprise M3 LEP should support the establishment of TfSE as a statutory body.
- 8.3 The Board discussed the proposal and noted that Transport for the North and Midlands Connect were currently the only bodies granted any powers. The proposal was about giving TfSE the opportunity to receive devolved powers from central Government not taking power from Highway England nor local authorities. The Board was advised that TfSE had received £1m operational funding last year and had just been allocated a further £500k. It was agreed that the Chief Executive would put together a formal response from the LEP based on the principles outlined in the paper supporting the establishment of TfSE as a statutory body.

Action to be taken	By Whom	When
Submit a formal response from the LEP to the TfSE consultation based on the principles outlined in the paper supporting the establishment of TfSE as a statutory body	Kathy Slack	July 2019

## 9. Internationalisation European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) Application

- 9.1 The Board received a paper on a proposal to apply for £1m ERDF funding for a 3-year project for setting up and running an Internationalisation programme in the Enterprise M3 area directed at SMEs new to exporting and those wanting to expand into new markets. A strategic partnership was formed with Hampshire County Council, Surrey County Council, Surrey and Hampshire Chambers of Commerce, the EM3 Growth Hub and the universities of Surrey (SETsquared) and Winchester to develop an outline application. The outline application was submitted in November and confirmation received in April 2019 that it had been accepted and could now progress to a full application, subject to a number of conditions being met. The conditions were not insurmountable, mainly to demonstrate how duplication would be avoided and further detail to be provided on how the project would integrate with the services and activities of the EM3 Growth Hub. The full application had to be submitted by 9 August 2019.
- 9.2 The total cost of the project was £2m which was made up of £1m ERDF funding, £500k from EM3 and partner contributions and £500k from businesses for the services. Enterprise M3 would be required to

contribute approximately £230k over 3 years in the form of a staffing contribution and additional services to be delivered by the Growth Hub. The fund would be used to fund a dedicated LEP team to oversee the delivery of the Internationalisation programme. There would be five main activities including the establishment of a network of Internationalisation Growth Champions, development of a 12-month Internationalisation training programme for SME's, establishment of an Internationalisation grant funding scheme, development of bilateral trading route and monitoring and reviewing progress.

- 9.3 The risks to Enterprise M3 were set out in the paper but the main risk was that once a project was contracted Enterprise M3, as lead partner, would be responsible for the management and submission to the Managing Authority of compliant quarterly claims in order to claim 50% ERDF funding. Failure to comply with the ERDF rules could mean that 50% expenditure would be unable to be claimed back or could be clawed back at a later date. It was however felt that the risk was low due to the current ability to manage ERDF funds effectively. Further discussions were required with Hampshire County Council as the Accountable Body to understand the position. There was also some risk relating to the clarity of Enterprise M3 funding beyond March 2020, however it was highlighted that financial commitment to the programme was only confirmed once the contract had been signed which was expected in July 2020. There was also uncertainty over the Growth Hub future funding so at this stage the it was being assumed that the Growth Hub service would continue, the position would be clearer by July 2020.
- 9.4 The Board discussed the paper and asked for further clarity on mitigation of risks to ensure claims were compliant. The Board was advised that the LEP had an experienced EU Manager well practised in dealing with ERDF claims, training would be provided to partners to ensure claims submitted were compliant and the partners would be well established bodes familiar with the processes. The Board agreed that Enterprise M3 submit a full application by 9 August. An update would be provided at the September Board meeting with further details on the full application.

Action to be taken	By Whom	When
Provide an update on the full ERDF Internationalisation funding application at the September Board	Chris Quintana	26 September 2019

## 10. Capital Projects for consideration

### a) Capital Programme Update

- 10.1 Rachel Barker reported to the Board on the current position with the capital programme. Since the last meeting the third closing date for new Expressions of Interest had passed and four bids had been received seeking over £6.5m. Those projects categorised as A projects would be considered by PMG in the Summer. The improvement works at the Meadows roundabout in Camberley had been completed and to budget. Work on the new Eli Lilly headquarters at Basing View had commenced and was expected to be completed in late 2020. The first repayment of £750k had been received from the original Enterprise M3 Funding Escalator which would be used to support additional projects. PMG approved three projects to proceed to contract which were the Future Town Innovation Hub (£2.94m), Fleet Pond Green Corridor (£2.1m) and North Downs Line (£955k).
- 10.2 The Board noted that, should all projects submitted to Board in July be approved, a total of 97% of the available capital funding would have been approved for projects. The latest forecast for expenditure for 2019/20 ranged between £32m and £60m, however it was expected that it would be likely in the region of £47m. Ahead of the Board meeting in September the project team would be conducting a comprehensive review of the progress of those projects yet to be approved or where there was no signed legal agreement and consider whether alternative projects should be brought forward. A pipeline of projects was continuing to be developed but partners were being advised that funding may not be available for the project to proceed.

### b) Basingstoke South-Western Corridor to Growth – Brighton Hill Roundabout

- 10.3 The Board received a report on the business case from Hampshire County Council for the enhancement of Brighton Hill roundabout which was part of the Basingstoke South West Corridor to Growth improvements. The request was for £13m capital grant with match funding of £7.7m through a combination of Hampshire County Council Local Transport Funding and Section 106 contributions. The scheme consisted of highway junction improvement on the strategically important

A30 South West corridor. The corridor provided strategic access between the M3 Junction 7 and the Ringway, Basingstoke's orbital inner ring road. Brighton Hill roundabout currently experienced congestion causing journey time delays and extensive queues during peak periods. The scheme comprised of complete signalisation of the roundabout and widening of the circulatory carriageway and flare approaches. The objectives of the scheme were to reduce delays, improve journey time reliability, accommodate forecast traffic growth and improve access to employment centres. The scheme would create 255 temporary construction jobs, support the retention of existing jobs in current employment areas, support housing growth of approximately 2,000 homes and generate approximately £7.55m additional GVA.

- 10.4 The due diligence report concluded that Hampshire County Council had put together a good and well-supported business case which demonstrated good economic benefits and aligned well with the strategic aims. There was an issue with not all of the match funding being available at the start of the scheme, however AECOM were comfortable that HCC had plans to secure funding in the latter stages and would commit to underwrite the funding so there would be no increase in the amount of LEP funding required and the delivery of the scheme would not be delayed. It was highlighted to the Board that it was unlikely that the entire £13m would be allocated by end of 2020/21 however it was proposed that loan repayments of £5m expected in 2021/22 could be utilised to support the project beyond 2020/21. HCC had also agreed to underwrite the cost if repayments had not been received which would be repaid once loan repayments had been received.
- 10.5 The Board discussed the scheme and acknowledged its importance as it built on a series of improvements schemes on the Basingstoke South West Corridor to Growth which had been supported by the LEP. The Board was comfortable with the approach of utilising loan repayments to support the project after 31 March 2021. The Board approved the allocation of £13m capital grant funding for the Basingstoke South-Western Corridor to Growth Brighton Hill Roundabout scheme.

Action to be taken	By Whom	When
Progress the Basingstoke South-Western Corridor to Growth Brighton Hill Roundabout Scheme to contract.	Kevin Travers	August 2019

**c) Weyside Urban Village**

- 10.6 The Board received a report on a business case from Guildford Borough Council for the Weyside Urban Village scheme. The request was for £7.5m capital grant to complement a bid to the Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF) for £52m and a Public Works Loan Board loan of up to £90m. Weyside Urban Village was designated as a Housing Zone by Homes England. Guildford Borough Council were seeking to support the development of 1,500 homes as well as 17,500m<sup>2</sup> of light industrial and retail space. Significant remediation and enabling works were required to unlock the site for development. The scheme would create 768 direct jobs and 446 indirect and induced jobs and a direct GVA contribution of £175m from the jobs associated with the Weyside Urban Village.
- 10.7 AECOM had reviewed the business case and identified a number of areas where further evidence was required to limit the risk for the LEP including the options analysis, impacts on the local economy, scheme costs, optimism bias and securing funding. AECOM recommended a series of actions to address the issues identified, however some of the actions proposed to minimise the risk to the LEP would inevitably delay the scheme. With regard to the funding, Guildford Borough Council had advised that the £7.5m LEP funding would be used for survey and investigation work, ground remediation to the Internal Estate road and depot ground remediation. Without the LEP funding delivery of the scheme would be slowed down. The LEP funding would demonstrate a firm commitment to the scheme and enable Guildford Borough Council to go to the market much more forcefully and show that funding was in place to make the site more attractive to potential developers. Securing HIF funding was fundamental to the delivery of the scheme but a decision on the success of the HIF bid was not expected until later in the year. In order to protect the LEP funding it was proposed that appropriate conditions were built into the funding agreement to seek reimbursement should the HIF funding not be secured.
- 10.8 Further discussions with Guildford BC had identified that the Weyside Urban Village scheme was due to be considered for approval by full Council in October 2019 although executive approval to deliver the Internal Estate road, fund the Thames Water relocation costs and finance the site wide fees to

Planning Application submission had already been received. A formal agreement with Thames Water was exchanged on 30 April which was a significant milestone enabling the relocation of the sewage treatment works. Guildford BC had advised that the relocation of the Surrey CC waste facility was not part of the HIF bid as the bid was focused on the ability to speed up housing through infrastructure. Guildford BC were working with Surrey CC to secure funding to enable the relocation of the waste facility however it was advised that this would not be detrimental to the delivery of the overall scheme.

10.9 The Board discussed the scheme and although acknowledged that the relocation of Surrey CC waste facility was not detrimental to the overall scheme it was felt it could have an impact on land values and hence the overall deliverability of the project. Guildford BC would be advised that there would be no more LEP money available for this scheme and therefore funding for the relocation of the waste facility would need to be secured elsewhere. It was advised that Guildford BC could increase the marginal viability of the scheme and explore the potential to increase the HIF funding request to include the waste management facility relocation. Guildford BC and Surrey CC would be advised to contact Blessing Inyang and Toni Wootton to discuss a potential funding resolution which could then be raised with the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government and Homes England. It was stressed that this may not be possible.

10.10 It was agreed that Guildford BC would be advised that the Board expressed overall support for the project but agreed that funding could not be approved until there was further detail on the relocation of the waste management facility. Given the funding timescales the information would need to be brought back to the Board in September and should include detail on how Guildford BC and Surrey CC intended to work together to achieve the relocation and a strategy for securing funding.

Action to be taken	By Whom	When
Advise Guildford BC and Surrey CC to discuss options for resolving the funding shortfall for the waste management facility. There was a possibility that additional HIF money could be secured, to be discussed further with Blessing Inyang and Toni Wootton	Kevin Travers	July 2019
Submit Weyside Urban Village scheme to the September Programme Management Group and Board meetings subject to a satisfactory resolution to achieve the relocation of the waste management facility and securing funding	Kevin Travers	26 September 2019

## 11. Enterprise M3 Delivery Plan Update

11.1 The Board received a report on the progress of the Enterprise M3 Delivery Plan. Overall progress was strong with no activity currently identified as red. Two areas of activity were highlighted as amber at this stage but plans were in place to ensure their timely delivery. The first was the monitoring and evaluation activity which was now being taken forward by the new Capital Programmes Manager. Meeting the Board diversity target was also an amber activity which reflected the fact that achieving the target was dependent on the nominations to the Board from partner organisations. The mid-year review with Government would be informed by the Delivery Plan. There was a request for clarity on the criteria to achieve the overall RAG rating within the Delivery Plan.

Action to be taken	By Whom	When
Clarify the criteria used to set the overall RAG rating within strategy, delivery and governance elements of the Delivery Plan	Rachel Barker	26 September 2019

## 12. Enterprise M3 Growth Hub – Future Delivery

12.1 The Board received a paper setting out the work underway to review the options for delivery of the existing service to businesses through the Growth Hub. The current two-year funding settlement from Government for running the Growth Hub service was due to come to an end in March 2020 and there was no clear indication at this stage from Government regarding ongoing funding. The current contract for the Growth Hub was also due to end in March 2020 although the contract allowed for the service to

be extended. The current contract requirement was that six-months notice be given for the contract to be terminated or any changes made to the services, therefore notification would be required by 30 September 2019. As it was unlikely that Government would have decided on funding by then, it was proposed that the Growth Hub contract be extended by three-months to 30 June 2020. It was anticipated that the Government decision on funding would be received by 31 December 2019 and, if the decision was to not fund the Growth Hub, Enterprise M3 would be required to fund £140k to contract the Growth Hub to the end of June 2020.

- 12.2 An independent assessment of the options of services provided to businesses would be carried out over the Summer and presented to the Board in November 2019. The options to be considered would include to do nothing and carry on with the Growth Hub in its current form, increase the scope and range of activities, reduce the scope and range of activities, not have a Growth Hub or bring the Growth Hub activities in-house. The options would be costed with or without Government funding.
- 12.3 The Board discussed the report and approved in principle to continue to fund the current Growth Hub service for the first quarter of 2020/21 in the event that there was no decision from Government on future funding by September 2019. The Board also agreed in principle to extend the current contract with UMi by three months.

Action to be taken	By Whom	When
Report to the November Board meeting on the results of the independent assessment of the options of services provided to businesses	Rob Dunford	28 November 2019

### 13. Enterprise M3 Local Industrial Strategy

- 13.1 The Board received a presentation which provided an update on the progress on developing the Local Industrial Strategy (LIS). There were nine areas of focus within the developing LIS which would need to be built around the five foundations of productivity identified by Government which were ideas, people, infrastructure, business environment and places. It was important that there was an understanding of the Government focus which would need to be reflected in the LIS. Five more LIS documents had been published which had been co-designed by Government and had a common format. Those published were from West of England, Oxfordshire, Buckinghamshire, South East Midlands and Cambridgeshire & Peterborough LEPs. They contained propositions but not proposals for funding and proposals for Governance and Implementation. It was important that the Enterprise M3 LIS contained a key message which was identified as the Enterprise M3 area was rich in business led innovation.
- 13.2 Work on pulling together a robust evidence base was underway which included a productivity analysis, skills analysis and detailed piece of work with experts and businesses on clean growth. There was a detailed engagement strategy to gain as much input as possible which included a twitter campaign. Individual Board member would be contacted to provide evidence on what was already happening in the area. Comments from the Board would be welcomed on any areas it was felt were not currently covered in the LIS. The Board noted the work being carried out on developing the LIS.

### 14. Government Plans

- 14.1 Toni Wootton provided an update on the current Government focus and priorities. There had been a number of ministerial changes in Government following the appointment of Boris Johnson as Prime Minister. The mid-year reviews had not yet been finalised however it was likely to be a light touch process with Blessing Inyang and Toni Wootton in early September. The Delivery Plan and quarterly monitoring returns would be used as the basis for the review along with any actions from the Annual Performance Review. Nothing to report on the UK Shared Prosperity Fund and it was not yet clear when the information would be available. It was possible that the spending review would indicate rollover and possible extension of spend for the Local Growth Fund.

### 15. Chief Executive's Report

- 15.1 Kathy Slack highlighted the key issues in the Chief Executive's Report which had been circulated. In addition to the information in the report the Board was advised that Woking Borough Council had

successfully secured £95m of Housing Infrastructure Funding. Enterprise M3 had provided capacity funding to enable a quality bid to be developed and submitted in the required timeframe. Shapley Heath in Hart District Council area had been successful in its bid to join the Government's Garden Communities programme along with Dunsfold Park in Waverley Borough Council area. The Enterprise M3 Team would be moving to Desk Lodge, Basing View in September, space would still be retained at Hampshire County Council. The current structure of the Enterprise M3 Team was being reviewed.

**16. Programme Management Group Report**

16.1 The Board received and noted the minutes of the Programme Management Group meetings held on 18 June and 11 July 2019.

**17. EU Programme Update**

17.1 The Board received and noted the progress on the EU Programme.

**18. Forward Programme**

18.1 The Board received and noted the Forward Programme.

**19. Any Other Business**

19.1 The future Enterprise M3 Board meetings would be held on

- Thursday 26 September 2019 – Future Skills Centre, Bordon
- Thursday 28 November 2019 – Elmbridge (TBC)
- Thursday 30 January 2020
- Thursday 26 March 2020